

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b>	<b>Applicant(s)</b>	
	10/532,065	LARET ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b>	<b>Art Unit</b>	
	James O. Hansen	3637	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) James O. Hansen. (3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Mr. Kenneth Berner. (4) \_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 19 October 2007.

Type: a) Telephonic b) Video Conference  
c) Personal [copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No.  
If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 1,19 and 21.

Identification of prior art discussed: n/a.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

  
**JAMES O. HANSEN**  
**PRIMARY EXAMINER**

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an attachment to a signed Office action.

\_\_\_\_\_  
Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: In an effort to expedite the prosecution of the application process [compact prosecution], the examiner contacted applicant and proposed amendments to the claims [basically, incorporating the limitations of claims 6 & 9 into claim 1 as set forth in applicant's remarks of the amendment filed 8/1/07, clarifying the limitations of claim 19, and correcting the claimed dependency of claim 21] so as to place the application in condition for allowance. See the attached examiner's amendment.